

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DO	CKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/881,304		06/13/2001	Benjamin M. Cahill III	INTL-0474-US	(P10021)	6777	
	7590	07/02/2004			EXAM	NER	
Timothy N. TROP, PRUN	-	II P.C	DESIR, JEAN WICEL				
STE 100	LIC CC 11	0,1.0.	ART UN	IT	PAPER NUMBER		
8554 KATY I hOUSTON, 7	•	24-1805	2614		P		
					DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·,		Applica	ation No.	pplicant(s)						
		09/881	304	CAHILL, BENJAM	IIN M					
•	Office Action Summary	Examir		Art Unit						
		Jean W		2614						
	The MAILING DATE of this commu				Idress					
Period fo	r Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .								
2a)□		2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 ✓ Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 1,2,12,13,15,18,27,31,32,41 and 48 is/are rejected. ✓ Claim(s) 3-11,14,16,17,19-26,28-30,33-40,42-47 and 49-51 is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.								
10))☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner.	Note the attached	Office Action or form P1	ГО-152.					
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	t(s)									
2) Notice 3) Information	e of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or No(s)/Mail Date 2.3.		Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PT0 	O-152)					

'Application/Control Number: 09/881,304

Art Unit: 2614

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1, 2, 12, 13, 15, 18, 27, 31, 32, 41, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tults et al (EP 0 180 450 A2).

Claim 1:

Tults discloses:

"determining a timing relationship between a video window and a capture raster, wherein the video window is within a display raster", see page 5 lines 17-30, page 10 lines 22-26, see also page 1 lines 4-26;

"and adjusting a pixel clock to avert shear of the video window", see page 5 lines 31-37, page 11 lines 8-15, see also page 3.

Claim 2:

"determining that the video window is within the timing of the capture raster" is inherent to Tults' disclosure:

"and quickly moving image shear out of the video window", see page 11 lines 8-15, see also page 3.

Claims 12, 13 are disclosed, Fig. 2A, Fig. 3 items 22, 34, 32.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/881,304

Art Unit: 2614

Claim 15 is disclosed, see Fig. 1' items 140, 124, 122.

Claim 18 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 27:

Tults discloses:

"determining a timing relationship between a video window and a capture raster, wherein the video window is within a display raster", see page 5 lines 17-30, page 10 lines 22-26, see also page 1 lines 4-26;

"adjusting a pixel clock to avert shear of the video window; and adjusting the pixel clock to maintain a shear-free display of the video window", see page 5 lines 31-37, page 11 lines 8-15, see also page 3.

Claim 31 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1.

Claim 32 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 2.

Claim 41 rejected for the same reasons as claim 18.

Claim 48 is rejected for the same reasons as claim 27.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 3-11, 14, 16, 17, 19-26, 28-30, 33-40, 42-47, 49-51 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

⁴Application/Control Number: 09/881,304

Art Unit: 2614

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean W. Désir whose telephone number is (703) 308 9571. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4/9 - First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Miller can be reached on (703) 305 4795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWD Jun. 23, 04 MICHAEL H. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER